JUN 1 0 2005



Attorneys at Law

June 10, 2005

Suite 2800 1100 Peachtree St. Atlanta GA 30309-4530 t 404 815 6500 F404 815 6555 www.KilpatrickStockton.com

direct dial 404 815 6291 direct fax 404 541 3467 MBertelson@KilpatrickStockton.com

FAX

RECIPIENT/ PHONE NO.	FAX NO.	COMPANY/ CITY, STATE, COUNTRY
Centralized Fax Dept. GAU 3737	703.872.9306	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
Examiner Ruth S. Smith		

Michael A. Bertelson	6
FROM	PAGES (WITH COVER)
5418	50642/270979
REFERENCE NO	CLIENT/MATTER NO.

PLEASE CALL 404 815 6497 IF YOU HAVE DIFFICULTY WITH THIS TRANSMISSION.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE:

The information contained in this fax message is being transmitted to and is intended for the use of the individual named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby advised that any dissemination, distribution or copy of this fax is strictly prohibited. If you have received this fax in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and destroy this fax message.

COMMENTS

Applicant:	Christopher P. Carson			
Title:	Surgical Navigation System	Surgical Navigation Systems and Processes for High Tibial Osteotomy		
Serial No./Docket No.:	10/084,291	50642/270979		
Filed:	02/27/2002			
PAPERS SUBMITTED:				
1. PTOSB/21	- Transmittal Form;			
2. PTO/SB/22	 Petition for Extension of T 	ime Under 37 CFR 1.136(a);		
3. PTO-2038 -	 Credit Card Payment Form 	; and		
4. Response to	Restriction Requirement of	04/29/2005.		
Date: June 10, 20	05	-		
Pv. Michael A	Reitelson Ren No. 54 713	•		

TO BE COMPLETED BY KS OPERATIONS CENTER			
TRANSMISSION RECEIPT DATE/TIME:			
COMPLETED BY:	JOB CODE		

		U.S. F	short has hade	PTO/SB/21 (09-04) roved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0851-0031 mark Officer, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE through the first as which OMB control number		
Under the Paparwork Redu	iction Act of 1985, no nerson:	Application Number	10/084,	ation unless it displays a valid OMB control number.		
transmittal		Filing Date	02/27/2002			
FOR		First Named Inventor	Christophe	er P. Carson		
₽ ♠ n Ænnn		Art Unit	3737			
(to be used for all correspond	dages after initial fillings	Examiner Name	Ruth S. Smith			
Total Number of Pages in This		Altomey Docket Number	50642/270979			
Total (various of Flagos at The		LOSURES (Check all	that apply)			
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidevits/dect Extension of Time Re Express Abandonmen Information Disclosur Certified Copy of Prior Document(s) Reply to Missing Part	daretion(s) equest int Request re Statement Remai	Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence A Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CE	vddress L	After Allowance Communication to TC Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Errief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):		
Incomplete Application Reply to Miss	on sing Parts R 1.52 or 1.53	OF APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, OR	AGENT		
Firm Name KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP						
Signature A RICK SI OCKI ON LLI						
I Ma I Miles						
Michael A. Bertelson						
Date June 18, 2005 Rag. No. 54,713						
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO's Centralized Facsimile Number (703) 672.9306 on the date shown below.						
Signature	Signature Source Willems					
Typed or printed name	Janie Wilkir	/ \		Date 6/0/05		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is eathersted to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patentia, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and salect option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANT:

Christopher P. Carson

SERIAL NO.:

10/084.291

GROUP ART UNIT:

3737

FILED:

Feb. 27, 2002

EXAMINER:

Ruth S. Smith

FOR:

Surgical Navigation Systems and Processes for High Tibial

Osteotomy

Certificate Of Transmission Under 37 CFR 1.8 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the United States Patent Office Centralized Facsimile Number (703.872.9306), on June 10, 2005.

Janle Wilkins

Attorney Docket No.: 50642/270979

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria VA 22313-1450

DATE: June 10, 2005

RESPONSE TO ELECTION / RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

In response to the April 29, 2005 Office communication, please consider the following remarks and provisional election with traverse. In the April 29, 2005 Office communication, the Examiner required restriction among the following claim sets:

- 1. Claims 1-11: Drawn to a process, classified in class 600, subclass 424.
- II. Claims 12-14: Drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 600, subclass 424.

Applicant provisionally elects claims 1-11 with traverse.

Applicant respectfully submits that the restriction requirement is erroneous and should be withdrawn. There are two criteria for a proper requirement for

Application No. 10/084,291 Amendment Dated June 10, 2005 Reply to Office Action of April 29, 2005

restriction between patentably distinct inventions: (1) the inventions must be independent or distinct as claimed; and (2) there must be a serious burden on the Examiner if restriction is required. MPEP § 803.

According to MPEP § 803, the Examiner must examine an entire application on the merits, including claims to distinct or independent inventions, so long as the search and examination of the entire applications can be made without serious burden. With respect to the restriction among the claims pending in the present application, Applicant respectfully submits that the Examiner has failed to establish any undue burden placed upon the PTO by the presence of all of the claims in the same application. A search of one Invention would likely produce art related to the other inventions. Indeed, claims 1-11 and claims 12-14 are both classified in the same class and subclass. Applicant respectfully submits that examination of the claims of the entire application will not impose an undue burden.

CONCLUSION

In light of the provisional election with traverse, the undersigned is of the opinion that this application is in a condition for allowance and respectfully requests the same.

A request for a one-month extension of time accompanies this response as well as a form PTO-2038 Credit Card Payment Form. No other fees are believed due at this time. To the extent that belief is incorrect, the Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees that may be due for this Response to